

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/688,656	10/17/2003	Warren V. Barkley	30835/301726	1226
45373 MARSHALL,	7590 05/31/2007 GERSTEIN & BORUN		EXAMINER	
233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE			JUNG, DAVID YIUK	
	6300 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2134	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		05/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/688,656	BARKLEY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David Y. Jung	2134			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	VIC SET TO EVDIDE 2 MONTH	C) OD THIDTY (20) DAVC			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions of the second period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/200	<u>07</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 21-31</u> is/are pending in the	application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		·			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-11 and 21-31 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	·			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ad :			
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	5u.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

CLAIMS PRESENTED

Claims 12-20 are cancelled.

Thus, Claims 1-11, 21-31 are presented.

CLAIM REJECTIONS

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11, 21-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reid (cited by Applicant, US Patent 6,182,226) and Tachibana (cited by Applicant, US Patent 6,480,963).

Regarding claim 1, Reid teaches "A computer-readable medium having thereon computer-executable instructions for performing a method comprising: establishing at least one connection to at least one computer network; issuing an issued network identifier for at least one computer network of said at least one computer network; and determining an [] for each issued network identifier with respect to at least one current

Application/Control Number: 10/688,656 Page 3

Art Unit: 2134

computer network (column 1, lines 57 to column 2, line 27, column 4, line 47 to column 5, line 25, column 16, line 20 to column 17, line 50)."

These passages of Reid are not explicit about "identity confidence" in the sense of the claim. Instead, Reid teaches "regions" caused by firewalls.

Even if Reid is not explicit about "identify confidence", Tachibana teaches such "identity confidence (abstract, figure 2, 7-10, column 2, line 50 to column 3, line 25, column 7, line 6 to column 10, line 21)" for the motivation of security (abstract). See, for example, the confidentiality levels used in confidentiality level table 15b of figure 2.

Hence, it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to combine the teachings of Reid and Tachibana for the motivation noted in the previous paragraphs so as to teach the claimed invention.

Regarding claim 2-4 (request handlings.), see abstract of Tachibana which discusses reception and transmission – which can be considered to be requests.

Regarding claim 5 (global ID, etc.), claims 6-8 (confidence modifiers, etc.), see figures 2, 7-10 which shows the global management of access levels that are related to confidence levels. Regarding claim 9, 11 (active network attribute handling) and claims 10, 11 (passive network attribute handling) note that Reid teaches "regions" separated by different network attributes -- column 16, line 20 to column 17, line 50.

Claims 21-31 are system analogs of claims 1-11. For the reasons noted in the rejections of claims 1-11, these claims 21-31 are not patentable.

Conclusion

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/688,656

Art Unit: 2134

The art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The art disclosed general background.

Points of Contact

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(571) 273-3836 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Jung whose telephone number is (571) 272-3836 or Kambiz Zand whose telephone number is (272) 272-3811.

David Jung

Patent Examiner

5/28/07

1